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Abstract

Present study deals with the pollution of Purna River water near Gandhigram village with special emphasis on the pollutants originated from the human domestic activities and wrong way of agricultural practices. Large amount of organic pollutants enters in the River flow that alters the water quality parameters. The effect of pollution mainly comes in the sight during summer season. It increase the temperature of water, lowers the dissolved oxygen contents, increases the biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids, ionic contents and resulted in to eutrophication in River water. The daily input of organic pollutants in River water by human domestic practices on the bank of River, such as washing of utensils, cloth washing cattle washing on the bank of River, deteriorate the River water. During summer the condition becomes more miserable that the River basin agriculture contributes the decaying organic pollutants to the River water and increases the physical and chemical parameters in water.
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Introduction:

Water quality of receiving water bodies such as Rivers and lakes is critically important because it is one of the most essential resources for human existence and settlement. However, rapid growth of population and increase of urban activities significantly influences the water quality of receiving water bodies¹. This is mainly due to the deterioration of water quality due to the higher pollutant loads resulting from various point and non-point sources of pollution.

As noted by several researchers, point source of pollution primarily includes direct and uncontrolled discharges from different land use types such as residential, industrial and commercial land uses¹. On the other hand urban storm water runoff has been recognized as the most important non-point source of pollution to receiving water bodies¹,². Of all the planet’s renewable sources, water has a unique place. It is essential for sustaining all forms of life, food production and economic development and for general well being. Due to tremendous increase of human population and the domestic activities of the peoples related to water, the aquatic ecosystem perceptibly altered in several aspects in recent years. Water is regarded as a polluted when it is changed in its quality or compositions directly or indirectly as a result of human activities, so that it become less suiTable for drinking as well as domestic and other purposes. Many of
the Rivers and lakes are becoming increasingly murky, smelly and choked with growth of algae. Most of the Rivers have become darkened with sewage, effluents, agricultural runoff etc. Natural waters are no longer capable of composing these impurities.

Natural water has a self purification capacity, as the polluted River water get cleaned along the stretch by settling down the solids and biodegradation of organic wastes. But it has its own limit. The conservation and efficient utilization of available water resources need maximum emphasis.

**Material and Methods:**

The water quality data for this study was collected on a stretch of 3 km along the Purna River. These locations have been selected in order to represent the different land use characteristics in to understand the variability of water quality with the inherent characteristics of different land uses. A work plan was conceived for the present investigation, to study the water quality of Purna River in the vicinity of Gandhigram town. Gandhigram town is located within Akola district, 15 Kms north from Akola (Maharashtra), in central India, on the bank of Purna River. To assess the quality of River water and impact of human activities on the water quality and also to gain the information about the extent of pollution the proposed work is aimed at devising ecologically sound new strategies for conservation of River through prevention of pollution emphasizing appraisal of environmental status of River, by studying physicochemical parameters and to determine causes of pollution.

All the samples collected were then tested for range of physical, chemical and biological water quality parameters according to the specified by Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA, 2001). This includes five water quality parameters namely TDS, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), Dissolved Oxygen, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD).

The collection of water sample from different stations and depths of River was done by Mayer’s sampler every week. Three sampling stations were selected in the vicinity of Gandhigram to collect the water samples, namely upstream station ‘X’, water sampling near the bridge is station ‘Y’ and downstream of bridge station ‘Z’. The analysis for temperature, pH, conductivity and dissolved oxygen were performed in the field by using “PorTable Water Analysis Kit” manufactured by ‘Electronix India, Ajmer’, having COMS – LSI technology, with accuracy of ± 2.0 %. The data obtained from the laboratory testing was then analyzed using two sophisticated software packages available for the statistical data analysis namely Microsoft Excel 2010 and StatistiXL Version 1.9.
Observations:

Table 1 Variation of different parameters of Purna River water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Temp. °C</th>
<th>TDS. (mg/l)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DO. (mg/l)</th>
<th>BOD. (mg/l)</th>
<th>COND. (µmho/cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>33.63</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>399.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>29.21</td>
<td>3274</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>40.05</td>
<td>585.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>44.01</td>
<td>602.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>27.13</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>320.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>25.19</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>25.09</td>
<td>423.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>511.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rains</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>28.19</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>24.99</td>
<td>314.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>426.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>35.54</td>
<td>437.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and Discussion:

Perusal of data harvested during the study period indicates that the temperature of River water at sampling stations varies according to the extent of organic pollution and the flow of River. The organic pollution load at station ‘Y’ and ‘Z’ by human domestic activities and agricultural farming in the River basin, increase the temperature of water\(^5\). Increasing bio-decomposition reactions involves the production of heat, which may be responsible to increase the temperature at sampling stations ‘Y’ and Z. There is no significant source of pollution at station ’X’, hence recorded comparatively lower values of temperature\(^5\).

Use of cow dung manure in the crop fields of watermelon and muskmelon, present in the open sand at middle part of River basin resulted in to increase of phytoplankton population and eutrophication. Hence, the continuous addition of organic matter by manuring the crop fields, cattle washing and daily washing of utensil and clothing’s by the locality residing on the bank of River, contributes to enhance the microbial activities in the River water at station ‘Z’. That intern increase the depletion of dissolved oxygen from the water and increase the biochemical oxygen demand of water. While at water pumping station ‘Z’, the bathing, cattle activities and entry of organic manure in the water lowers the values of dissolved oxygen. During the months of February and March, intense sunlight enhances the rate of photosynthesis of aquatic vegetation and increased temperature of water in summer days enhance the rate of microbial degradation of organic matter in River water, which resulted in to depletion of dissolved oxygen from water\(^6,10\).

During post summer days the less flow of River offers more concentration of pollutants to the water may attribute to increase of carbonates and bicarbonates. On the other hand turbid water due to load of pollutants, lowers the rate of phytoplanktonic activities and their assimilation of carbon dioxide and bicarbonates also, which may be responsible to increase the hydrogen ion concentration of water and alkalinity. During winter days, clear water and abundance of aquatic flora and their moderate rate of photosynthetic activities enhance the assimilation of bicarbonates from water, may attributed to
comparatively lower values of hydrogen ion concentration in River water. During rainy season surface runoff having eroded soil and organic matter from catchment areas maintains the increased pH of water. Total dissolved solid contents increased during the summer months, which may be due to less flow of River offer the high concentration pollutants to the River water. Comparatively more water during the winter months, dilute the pollutants and recorded lower values of TDS. Eroded soil and surface runoff from catchments transfers enormous amount of dissolved solids in the River with rain water, hence the peak values of TDS were recorded during the rainy season.

Peak values of conductivity at polluted stations in summer may be attributed to inflow of organic matter by human activities enhance the ionic status of River water. At station ‘X’ the degradation of bottom sediments maintains the values of conductivity. During the winter months growth of aquatic flora and their assimilation and dilution of pollutants in moderate flow of River water resulted in to lower the conductivity of water at all sampling stations.
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